

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/816,468

First Named Applicant Ryan E.T. Sanders

Examiner: Nicholas C. Pachol

Art Unit: 2625

Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Ellen M. Bierman (2) Nicholas C. Pachol

(3) SPE Twyler L. Haskins (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: TBD (at Examiner's convenience)

Proposed Time: after 10 am PST (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

	Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1)	103(a) Rejections	1-3, 5, 17-18, 20, 23- 26, 29, 31, 32 and 34	Carroll in view of Hansen et al.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2)	103(a) Rejections	4, 8, 19, and 33	Carroll in view of Hansen et al. in view of Redd et al.			

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Rejections of claims over prior art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.33(b)) as soon as possible:

Ellen M. Bierman

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Ellen M. Bierman

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

38,079

Registration Number, if applicable

Continuation Sheet of Issues to be Discussed

Issues To Be Discussed						
	Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(3)	103(a) Rejections	6	Carroll in view of Hansen et al. and further in view of Lutz	[]	[]	[]
(4)	103(a) Rejections	7, 27, 28, and 30	Carroll in view of Hansen et al. and further in view of Ferlitsch et al.	[]	[]	[]
(5)	103(a) Rejections	9 and 22	Carroll in view of Hansen et al. and further in view of Kremer	[]	[]	[]
(6)	103(a) Rejections	11 and 21	Carroll in view of Hansen et al. and further in view of Shaw et al.			

APDS-1-2403ExIntRequestForm